

9

DEVELOPMENT OF A 100 WATT
S-BAND TRAVELING-WAVE TUBE

By
L. A. Roberts
M. V. Purnell

14 April 1967

Contract No. 951299

"This work was performed for the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, sponsored by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under Contract NAS7-100.

Quarterly Report No. 1

67-35644
(ACCESSION NUMBER)
26
(PAGES)
CR-88023
(NASA CR OR TMX OR AD NUMBER)

(THRU)
1
(CODE)
09
(CATEGORY)

5010 FACILITY FORM 625

Watkins-Johnson Company
3333 Hillview Avenue
Palo Alto, California



3
DEVELOPMENT OF A 100 WATT
S-BAND TRAVELING-WAVE TUBE 4

By
L. A. Roberts
M. V. Purnell

14 April 1967

Contract No. 951299

"This work was performed for the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, sponsored by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under Contract NAS7-100.

Quarterly Report No. 1

Watkins-Johnson Company
3333 Hillview Avenue
Palo Alto, California 3

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED.

ABSTRACT

This report is the first quarterly progress report on the development of a 100 watt, 55 percent efficiency, 2.3 GHz traveling-wave tube for space applications. During this quarter, program plans and schedules were laid out, tube designs were completed and materials were ordered. Design of the electron gun was completed, a gun was constructed and the beam profile was measured in the gun testing equipment. Preliminary electrical tests of the helix structure were made and design decisions were made on the helices for initial tubes. Various mechanical details of the tube were decided and assembly procedures worked out. This report gives the details of the design and construction decisions that were made.

Preparations are complete for construction of the first tubes next quarter.

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | <u>Page</u> |
|--|-------------|
| I. INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| Tube Requirements | 1 |
| Watkins-Johnson Background in Space Tube and High Efficiency TWT Work | 2 |
| II. GENERAL ELECTRICAL DESIGN OF THE TUBE | 3 |
| Magnet Design | 6 |
| Tube Body Construction | 13 |
| Gun Design and Gun Envelope | 13 |
| Collector Design | 13 |
| Capsule Design | 20 |
| Accomplishments During the Quarter | 20 |
| Items to be Accomplished During the Next Quarter | 20 |

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

| <u>Figure No.</u> | <u>Title</u> | <u>Page No.</u> |
|-------------------|---|-----------------|
| 1 | Beam voltage and current versus perveance for 100 watt RF design. | 7 |
| 2 | Normalized helix dispersion curve showing the WJ-395 interim design point. | 9 |
| 3 | Sketch of PPM stack illustrating geometry as listed in Table IV. | 10 |
| 4a | A single hub drawn pole piece | 12 |
| 4b | A machined pole piece. | 12 |
| 4c | Two single hub pole pieces formed by drawing the hubs to replace the machined pole pieces | 12 |
| 5 | Cross sectional drawing of .015 x .005 inch tape helix supported by .040 inch BeO rods inside a .216 inch I.D. barrel. | 14 |
| 6 | Photograph of the bell jar gun tester used to evaluate the $.51 \times 10^6$ perveance electron gun for use on the WJ 395 | 16 |
| 7 | Measured beam current density profiles taken at various axial positions for the perveance 0.51×10^{-6} gun. | 17 |
| 8 | Sketch of mechanical gun design used on the WJ-395 | 18 |
| 9 | Sketch of the mechanical design of the collector for the WJ-395 TWT | 19 |
| 10 | Sketch shows the capsule and tube in an exploded view | 21 |

I. INTRODUCTION

In planning for future space communications systems, it has become abundantly clear that these future systems will need the capability of higher data rate transmission than is presently available. These needs arise from the projected requirements for real time television transmission, wideband multichannel telemetry, improvement in data transmission rate from deep space, and the requirements for point to point communication between spacecraft or between spacecraft and ground with omnidirectional antennas. Improvements are possible in almost all aspects of the system which include receivers, transmitters, antennas and encoding systems.

One very important system parameter which can be upgraded is the RF power output of the spaceborne transmitter. There are definite limitations upon this power based upon the present technology of the primary spacecraft power systems. For short term, manned spacecraft missions where primary power is based upon fuel cell technology, relatively large amounts of primary power are available. In unmanned, earth orbit missions of long duration where energy generation is based upon solar cell technology, primary power is much more precious. Deep space missions find primary power limitations are even more critical, particularly those missions into the solar system in the direction away from the sun, where primary power is again based upon solar cell technology. In this latter case, the need for increased transmitter power increases as well because of the vast distances over which communication must take place.

With a limited primary power budget and the simultaneous need for increased transmitter power, the importance of conversion efficiency of the transmitter system becomes very clear. It is with the above requirements in mind that the development program for a high efficiency 100 watt traveling-wave tube suitable for use in a spaceborne transmitter was begun. The highest power used in a deep space transmitter to date amounts to approximately 10 watts of RF power. The goals of the present development represent a tenfold increase in power output and a doubling of the efficiency over that of previously used devices.

Tube Requirements

The complete requirements of the traveling-wave tube are given in JPL Specification No. GMY-50467-DSN. The main requirements are summarized as follows:

RF PERFORMANCE

| | |
|--------------|-------------------|
| Frequency | 2295 \pm 15 MHz |
| Power Output | 100 watts min. |
| Efficiency | 55 % |
| Gain | 30 dB |

MECHANICAL REQUIREMENTS

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| Weight and Size | Minimum compatible with good design practices |
| Cooling | Conduction |
| Focusing | PPM |

ENVIRONMENTAL REQUIREMENTS

| | |
|-------------------|---|
| Temperature Range | -10 to +75 ⁰ C |
| Vibration | 14 g rms, 18 sec; then 5 g rms noise + 2 g rms sine 15-40 cps + 9.0 g rms sine 40-2000 cps for 600 sec; then 14 g rms, 18 sec |
| Shock | 200 g, 0.5 to 1.5 ms |
| Acceleration | 14 g, 5 min. |
| Pressure | Extended periods at 10 ⁻⁹ Torr or less |

Watkins-Johnson Background in Space Tube and High Efficiency TWT Work

Watkins-Johnson Company has done work in a number of areas which have led directly into this present work. These include:

1. Development of a wide range of traveling-wave tube amplifiers which contain tubes, power supplies and other components designed specifically for space use. These included the development of the traveling-wave tubes as well as the complete amplifier system. These have been in the S-Band, C-Band and X-Band ranges.
2. Development programs which led to the development of the WJ-274 and the WJ-274-1. The WJ-274 has produced 40 percent overall efficiency at the 25 watt level at 2.3 GHz; the WJ-274-1 is fully qualified for space application.

3. A research program into the techniques for achieving high efficiency in traveling-wave tubes sponsored by the U. S. Army Electronics Command. In the course of investigations on this latter program, experimental traveling-wave tubes have been built at 1.0 GHz which have yielded up to 51 percent efficiency at the 20 watt power level. These efficiencies have been achieved by two separate methods.

One technique, called the "two helix" or "two-stage helix" approach, consists of a conventional traveling-wave tube followed by a second short section of lossless (no attenuator) helix, which interacts with the electron beam exiting from the first helix. This second or output section of helix is driven by the power output of the first stage. The output helix is also isolated in voltage from the first section and can be operated at a higher potential. Depressed collector operation is used to recover as much beam energy as possible.

Another technique which has demonstrated comparable efficiencies (i. e. , greater than 50 percent) with a single helix voltage has also evolved from the USAECOM program. This called the "positive taper helix" approach. This technique involves a combination of large overvoltage operation of the helix and an increased helix pitch at the output end of the helix. Both the "two helix" and "positive taper" efficiency improvement techniques were studied in the frequency band around 1.0 GHz and the electron beams were focused with solenoidal magnetic fields.

This present program for a 100 watt tube and a parallel program for NASA Langley Research Center to study means of achieving very high efficiencies with the WJ-274, are designed to apply these techniques to a PPM focused space type TWT. The JPL and Langley tubes also represent hardware with intended spacecraft use.

II. GENERAL ELECTRICAL DESIGN OF THE TUBE

Two tube designs were calculated: one for the interim design and one for the final tube design. The interim design was based upon a beam efficiency of 30 percent. This is an achievable beam efficiency with a single uniform helix based upon the technology of the WJ-274, where beam efficiencies of 30 to 33 percent have been demonstrated. The final tube design is based upon a beam efficiency of 45 percent and the use of more complex structures. This is only slightly above the value achieved on the high efficiency study program. The design parameters of the interim and final tube designs are listed in Tables II and III. The interim design will be used to prove out the mechanical, electrical and beam focusing designs. Environmental and thermal tests can be run on this design which with depressed collector operation should achieve in excess of 40 percent overall efficiency. Once this tube has been established as a test vehicle, the construction of the higher efficiency designs can proceed.

TABLE I

Specification Listing the Anticipated Performance of the Final Tube

PERFORMANCE

| | |
|--------------------|-----------------|
| Frequency range | 2.25 - 2.35 GHz |
| Power output | 100 watts |
| Saturation gain | 30 dB min. |
| Overall efficiency | 55% min. |

ELECTRICAL REQUIREMENTS

| | |
|-------------------|------------|
| Heater voltage | 5 volts |
| Heater current | .8 amps. |
| Anode voltage | 2900 volts |
| Anode current | .5 mA |
| Helix I Voltage | 2200 volts |
| Helix I current | 10 mA |
| Helix II voltage | 2850 volts |
| Helix II current | 10 mA |
| Collector voltage | 2200 volts |
| Collector current | 66 mA |
| Focusing means | PPM |

MECHANICAL CHARACTERISTICS

| | |
|--------------------------------------|------------|
| Cross-section (excluding connectors) | 1 x 1 inch |
| Length | 20 inches |
| Weight | 3 pounds |
| RF Connector | OSM |
| Cooling | Conduction |

TABLE II

List of Design Parameters for Interim Tube Design

| | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Power Output | 100 watts |
| Perveance | .51 micropervs |
| Beam Efficiency, η_0 | 30 percent |
| Beam Voltage | 3360 volts |
| Beam Current, I_0 | 99 mA |
| Normalized Beam Velocity, u_0/c | .1149 |
| Normalized Helix Velocity, v/c | .089 |
| Pierce Velocity Parameter, b | 3.05 |
| Center Frequency, f_0 | 2.3 GHz |
| Helix Diameter, $2a$ | .131 inches |
| Beam Diameter, $2b$ | .071 inch |
| Beam Current Density, J_0 | 3.77 A/cm ² |
| Brillouin Focusing Field, B_{br} | 367 gauss |
| Peak ppm Field, \hat{B}_{z0} | 826 gauss |
| Guide Wavelength, λ_g | 1.16 cm |
| Plasma wavelength, λ_p | 1.805 inches |
| Normalized Circuit Radius, γa | 0.908 |
| Dielectric Loading Factor, DLF | 0.68 |
| Helix Pitch Angle, $\text{Cot}\Psi$ | 9.88 |
| Helix Turns/Inch | 23.8 |
| Normalized Circuit Radius, ka | .0808 |
| Impedance Reduction Factor, F | .261 |
| Pierce Impedance, K | 85.0 ohms |
| Pierce Gain Parameter, C | .0855 |
| Pierce Space Charge Parameter, QC | .321 |

Figure 1 shows the beam voltage and current versus perveance for a 100 watt output design. Efficiency is shown as a parameter. The final and interim design points are shown on this curve. The perveance of .51 micropervs was selected as the design perveance because the tubes could be focused using Alnico 8 magnets. The design parameters in Tables II and III result from the selection of the perveance and beam efficiency of the interim and final design.

Figure 2 shows the helix dispersion characteristics as measured. This information was used to determine the design parameters for the interim tubes.

Magnet Design

A perveance was chosen which allows the tube to be focused using Alnico 8 magnets. The use of Alnico 8 magnets will allow the tube to be operated over wide temperature ranges with no degradation of focusing or RF performance, since the Alnico 8 magnets have a low temperature coefficient. In the design of a PPM stack it is necessary that the PPM stack produce the required focusing field and that the period of the PPM stack be sufficiently short, relative to the scalloping wavelength of the beam, to prevent defocusing. Both of these are easily achieved using the Alnico 8 magnets and a perveance of .51 micropervs.

Figure 3 shows the geometry of the PPM stack, and Table IV lists the magnetic design for both the interim tube design and the estimated final tube design. The ratio of scalloping wavelength to period is listed for both designs. The scalloping wavelength has been determined by using velocity of the slowest electron which exists under saturated RF drive. A pole piece configuration shown in Fig. 3 will be used in the interim tube design, although we initially considered a single hub pole piece which could be drawn out of sheet stock. The interim pole pieces will be machined two hub pole pieces. These can later be made up of two single hub stamped or drawn pole pieces. Figure 4 shows the various type pole pieces mentioned above.

The magnets were ordered approximately half way through the reporting period, and the best delivery we could obtain was 16 weeks. These magnets were ordered from two different vendors because the program is very dependent on receiving these magnets as soon as possible. By using two vendors, we hope to decrease the possibility of late vendor delivery. In addition, an interim magnet is being ground from off-the-shelf material. These magnets are constructed of four pieces per ring. It is hoped that the initial tube test could be conducted with these interim magnets.




Fig. 1 - Beam voltage and current versus perveance for 100 watt RF design. Efficiency is shown as a parameter.

TABLE III
List of Design Parameters for Final Tube Design

| | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Power Output | 100 watts |
| Perveance | .51 micropervs |
| Beam Efficiency, η_0 | 45 % |
| Beam Voltage | 2850 volts |
| Beam Current, I_0 | 78 mA |
| Normalized Beam Velocity, u_0/c | 0.1057 |
| Normalized Helix Velocity, v/c | 0.0759 |
| Pierce Velocity Parameter, b | 4.25 |
| Center Frequency, f_0 | 2.3 GHz |
| Helix Diameter, $2a$ | .1177 inch |
| Beam diameter, $2b$ | .0706 inch |
| Beam current density, J_0 | 3.08 A/cm ² |
| Brillouin Focusing Field, B_{br} | 354 gauss |
| Peak ppm field, \hat{B}_{z0} | 707 gauss |
| Guide Wavelength, λ_g | .989 cm |
| Cyclotron Wavelength, λ_p | 1.768 inches |
| Normalized Circuit Radius, γ_a | 0.95 |
| Dielectric Loading Factor, DLF | 0.79 |
| Helix Pitch Angle, $\text{Cot } \Psi$ | 13.31 |
| Helix Turns/Inch | 36 |
| Normalized Circuit Radius, k_a | .072 |
| Impedance Reduction Factor, F | .34 |
| Pierce Impedance, K | 130 |
| Pierce Gain Parameter, C | 0962 |
| Pierce Space Charge Parameter, QC | .232 |




Fig. 2 - Normalized helix dispersion curve showing the WJ-395 interim design point. The DLF and γa are shown as parameters.




Fig. 3 - Sketch of PPM stack illustrating geometry as listed in Table IV.

TABLE IV
Design Parameters of PPM Focusing System

| | <u>Interim Design</u> | <u>Est. Final Design</u> |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Magnet Material | Alnico 8 | Alnico 8 |
| Brillouin Focusing Field, B_{br} | 386 | 354 |
| Peak PPM Field, B_{z0} | 826 gauss | 707 gauss peak |
| Magnet period, L | .428 | .354 inch |
| Pole Piece I. D., $2r_1$ | .237 | .237 inch |
| Magnetizing Force, H_m | 1000 | 1140 oersteds |
| Magnet I. D., $2R_1$ | .286 | .282 inch |
| Magnet O. D., $2R_2$ | .520 | .488 inch |
| Magnet Thickness, d_2 | .189 | .152 inch |
| Gap Length, d_1 | .091 | .071 inch |
| λ_p/L ⁽¹⁾ | 4.1 | 5 |
| λ_s/L ⁽²⁾ | 2.7 | 3.58 |
| λ_{s^*}/L ⁽³⁾ | 1.64 | 2.13 |

- (1) λ_p is the plasma wavelength of the beam.
- (2) λ_s is the no-drive scalloping wavelength of the beam.
- (3) λ_{s^*} is the scalloping wavelength of the slowest electron under saturated RF drive.




Fig. 4a - A single hub drawn pole piece. The hub can not be successfully drawn to the required .098 in. length.




Fig. 4b - A machined pole piece.




Fig. 4c - Two single hub pole pieces formed by drawing the hubs to replace the machined pole pieces above.

Tube Body Construction

Figure 5 shows a cross-sectioned drawing of the tube body and helix. The helix is .005 by .015 in. tungsten tape. The helix is supported by three 0.040 inch BeO rods. The barrel is triangulated in a fixture for helix assembly insertion. The rods and helix assembly are placed in the barrel and the barrel is released from the fixture, thus tightly holding the helix in place in the barrel. The magnetic PPM pole pieces are slid over the barrel after the helix assembly has been placed in the barrel.

Gun Design and Gun Envelope

A perveance .51 microperv convergent flow electron gun was designed to have a beam diameter of .071 inch. This gun can be used with both the interim tube design and the final tube design. The gun was designed so that the cathode loading is 175 mA per square centimeter, which is compatible with long tube life.

Table V lists the design parameters for the gun, both for the interim tube design and the final tube design. The beam edge potentials were determined in an electrolytic tank by adjusting the focus electrode geometry. Fig. 6 shows a bell jar gun tester used by the Watkins-Johnson Company to test new electron guns. The gun was tested in this beam tester for the location and size of beam minimum. The radial velocities of the electrons at the beam minimum position were also measured. Some results of these measurements are also shown in Fig. 7. The mechanical design of the gun is shown in Fig. 8.

Collector Design

It is required that the WJ-395 be conduction cooled. It is also required that the collector be depressed in order to achieve the maximum overall tube efficiency. Since the helix operates at ground potential, the collector will operate below ground potential and must be electrically insulated. It must also have good thermal conductance for removal of the heat. A collector design was selected which is very similar to the design used in several other tubes at Watkins-Johnson Company. This collector consists of a ceramic insulator which provides electrical insulation and a reasonable thermal conductance in order that the collector heat may be removed. Fig. 9 shows the collector design where the ceramic is used as both an electrical insulator and a thermal conductor. The heat is removed from the ceramic to the capsule by means of a thin silicone base heat conducting material. The layer is kept thin so that the temperature drop will be small.




Fig. 5 - Cross sectional drawing of .015 x .005 inch tape helix supported by .040 inch BeO rods inside a .216 inch I. D. barrel.

16964

TABLE V

List of Design Parameters for Electron Gun

| | <u>Interim Design</u> | <u>Est. Final Design</u> |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Perveance, P | $.51 \times 10^{-6}$ | $.51 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| Anode Voltage, V_O | 3360 volts | 2850 volts |
| Beam Current, I_O | .099 Amps | .078 Amps |
| Beam Current Density, J_O | 3.86 Amp/cm ² | 3.04 Amp/cm ² |
| Cathode Current Density, J_C | .222 Amp/cm ² | .175 Amp/cm ² |
| $2r_{95}^{(1)}$ | .071 inches | .071 inches |
| Ratio Beam Diameter to Cathode Diameter, r_{95}/r_C | .21 | .24 |
| Gun Area Convergence, A_{95}/A_C | 17.4 | 17.4 |
| Cathode Diameter, $2r_C$ | .296 inch | .296 inch |
| Gun Thermal Parameter, $\frac{PV}{T}$ | 1.727 | 1.465 |
| Ratio Cathode to Anode Radius of Curvature, \bar{r}_C/\bar{r}_a | 2.31 | 2.31 |
| Normalized Distance Parameter, $(-\alpha a)^2$ | 1.2095 | 1.2095 |
| Cathode Half-Angle, Θ | 16.67° | 16.67° |
| Cathode Radius of Curvature, \bar{r}_C | .516 inch | .516 inch |
| Anode Radius of Curvature, \bar{r}_a | .223 inch | .223 inch |

(1) $2r_{95}$ is the beam diameter containing 95 percent of the beam current.




Fig. 6 - Photograph of the bell jar gun tester used to evaluate the $.51 \times 10^{-6}$ perveance electron gun for use on the WJ 395




Fig. 7 - Measured beam current density profiles taken at various axial positions for the perveance 0.51×10^{-6} gun. The ordinates are current density relative to the maximum value at the beam minimum. The abscissas are labeled in inches. The number under each figure indicates the distance in inches between the anode and the position where the profile was taken. The two smaller profiles at each axial position are the currents from each half of a split collector. The larger curve is the total current. Zero radial velocity is indicated by superposition of the two smaller curves.




Fig. 8 - Sketch of mechanical gun design used on the WJ-395.




Fig. 9 - Sketch of the mechanical design of the collector for the WJ-395 TWT.

Capsule Design

The interim capsule design is shown in Fig. 10. The tube will be placed as shown in the capsule. The tube will be potted into the capsule and the heat dissipated in the tube removed by means of bolting the capsule to a heat sink.

Accomplishments During the Quarter

During the quarter, the interim tube electrical design was completed. This includes the design of the helix, electron gun, collector and the PPM magnetic focusing system. The electron gun was designed, constructed and tested in the bell jar beam analyzer shown in Fig. 6. The mechanical design was also completed. It was decided to build a single helix tube first in order to check out the power handling capabilities, focusing, and mechanical designs. A helix was constructed and tested to determine the phase velocity and the helix TPI required for the interim tubes. The jigs and fixtures necessary to construct the tube were designed, parts for the interim tubes ordered and parts have been arriving from the shop as of the end of the report period. Other materials, such as the ceramics and magnets, have been ordered from suitable vendors.

Items to be Accomplished During the Next Quarter

During the next quarter the construction and testing of three tubes is planned. During this period the early construction problems will be eliminated and further work will be done on the preliminary capsule design, with preparation to do some environmental testing.




Fig. 10 - Sketch shows the capsule and tube in an exploded view.